## Lecture 5: DNN Control

## Plan of presentation

- Separation principle
- DNNO (or DNN model of the original system)
- Ideas of Locally adaptive control
- Subgradient
- Pseudoinvers matrix
- Analytical representation of Locally adaptive control


## Separation principle

To realize the control of uncertain plants (when we do not know exactly the model of the process or can not measure on-line all coordinated of the process to be controlled) let us apply the, so-called, Separation Principle which is based on the following inequality

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\|x_{t}-x_{t}^{*}\right\|=\left\|\left(x_{t}-\hat{x}_{t}\right)+\left(\hat{x}_{t}-x_{t}^{*}\right)\right\| \leq \\
\left\|\hat{x}_{t}-x_{t}\right\|+\left\|\hat{x}_{t}-x_{t}^{*}\right\| \tag{1}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $x_{t}$ is the state vector of the controlled plant, $\hat{x}_{t}$ is its estimate and $x_{t}^{*}$ is a desired trajectory which we are intended to track.

## Separation principle

## Corollary

If we are able to realize a good enough state estimations, namely, fulfilling

$$
\left\|\hat{x}_{t}-x_{t}\right\| \leq \varepsilon_{1} \text { for all } t \geq T_{1}
$$

and we can realize a good tracking of our model (generating $\hat{x}_{t}$ ) to the desired trajectory $x_{t}^{*}$, fulfilling

$$
\left\|\hat{x}_{t}-x_{t}^{*}\right\| \leq \varepsilon_{2} \text { for all } t \geq T_{2}
$$

then we can guarantee a good enough control of our uncertain plant, that is,

$$
\left\|x_{t}-x_{t}^{*}\right\| \leq \varepsilon_{1}+\varepsilon_{2}, \text { for all } t \geq T:=\max \left\{T_{1}, T_{2}\right\}
$$

## DNNO representation

DNNO (or DNN model of the original system) is

$$
\left.\begin{array}{c}
\frac{d}{d t} \hat{x}_{t}=A \hat{x}_{t}+B u_{t}+L\left[y_{t}-C \hat{x}_{t}\right] \\
+W_{0, t} \varphi\left(\hat{x}_{t}\right)+W_{1, t} \psi\left(\hat{x}_{t}\right) u_{t}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

which can be represented as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t} \hat{x}_{t}=f_{N N}\left(\hat{x}_{t}, t\right)+B_{N N}\left(\hat{x}_{t}, t\right) u_{t} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with some initial conditions $\hat{x}_{0}$, where

$$
\begin{gathered}
f_{N N}\left(\hat{x}_{t}, t\right):=A \hat{x}_{t}+L\left[y_{t}-C \hat{x}_{t}\right]+W_{0, t} \varphi\left(\hat{x}_{t}\right), \\
B_{N N}\left(\hat{x}_{t}, t\right):=B+W_{1, t} \psi\left(\hat{x}_{t}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

The system (2) is completely defined and does not contain any uncertainties.

## Important remark

## Fact

The functions $f_{N N}\left(\hat{x}_{t}, t\right)$ and $B_{N N}\left(\hat{x}_{t}, t\right)$ are available on-line only in time $t$ (or earlier $\tau<t$ ), but not in future. So, Optimal Control Methods are not applicable in this situation. Only versions of a feedback control are admitted.

## Cost function

To realize "a good" tracking on-line, using DNNO, we need to make smaller the difference $\delta_{t}:=\hat{x}_{t}-x_{t}^{*}$, minimizing the corresponding convex cost function $F\left(\delta_{t}\right)$. For example, such functions may be as follows:

- quadratic

$$
F\left(\delta_{t}\right)=\left\|\delta_{t}\right\|^{2} \text { or } F\left(\delta_{t}\right)=\delta_{t}^{\top} G \delta_{t}, G=G^{\top}>0
$$

- norm

$$
F\left(\delta_{t}\right)=\left\|\delta_{t}\right\|=\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta_{i, t}^{2}}
$$

- sum of modules

$$
F\left(\delta_{t}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\delta_{i, t}\right|
$$

- dead-zone

$$
F\left(\delta_{t}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\delta_{t, i}\right|_{\varepsilon}^{+}, \quad|z|_{\varepsilon}^{+}:=\left\{\begin{array}{ccc}
z-\varepsilon & \text { if } & z \geq \varepsilon \\
-z-\varepsilon & \text { if } & z \leq-\varepsilon \\
0 & \text { if } & |z|<\varepsilon
\end{array} .\right.
$$

## Local optimization

Since for small enough $\tau>0$

$$
\frac{\hat{x}_{t+\tau}-\hat{x}_{t}}{\tau} \simeq \frac{d}{d t} \hat{x}_{t}=f_{N N}\left(\hat{x}_{t}, t\right)+B_{N N}\left(\hat{x}_{t}, t\right) u_{t}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\hat{x}_{t+\tau} \simeq \hat{x}_{t}+\tau\left[f_{N N}\left(\hat{x}_{t}, t\right)+B_{N N}\left(\hat{x}_{t}, t\right) u_{t}\right], \\
\frac{F\left(\delta_{t+\tau}\right)-F\left(\delta_{t}\right)}{\tau} \simeq \partial^{\top} F\left(\delta_{t}\right) \frac{\left(\delta_{t+\tau}-\delta_{t}\right)}{\tau}= \\
\tau^{-1} \partial^{\top} F\left(\delta_{t}\right)\left(\hat{x}_{t+\tau}-\hat{x}_{t}-\left(x_{t+\tau}^{*}-x_{t}^{*}\right)\right)= \\
\tau^{-1} \partial^{\top} F\left(\delta_{t}\right)\left(\tau\left[f_{N N}\left(\hat{x}_{t}, t\right)+B_{N N}\left(\hat{x}_{t}, t\right) u_{t}\right]-\left(x_{t+\tau}^{*}-x_{t}^{*}\right)\right) \simeq \\
\partial^{\top} F\left(\delta_{t}\right)\left(f_{N N}\left(\hat{x}_{t}, t\right)+B_{N N}\left(\hat{x}_{t}, t\right) u_{t}-\dot{x}_{t}^{*}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
F\left(\delta_{t+\tau}\right) \simeq F\left(\delta_{t}\right)+\tau \partial^{\top} F\left(\delta_{t}\right)\left[f_{N N}\left(\hat{x}_{t}, t\right)-\dot{x}_{t}^{*}+B_{N N}\left(\hat{x}_{t}, t\right) u_{t}\right]
$$

## Sub-gradient

## Definition

Recall that a vector $a(x) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, satisfying the inequality

$$
F(x+y) \geq F(x)+a^{\top}(x) y
$$

for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, is called the sub-gradient of the function $F(x)$ at the point $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and is denoted by $a(x) \in \partial F(x)$ which is the set of all sub-gradients of $F$ at the point $x$.

- If $F(x)$ is differentiable at a point $x$, then $a(x)=\nabla F(x)$.
- In the minimal point $x^{*}$ we have $0 \in \partial F\left(x^{*}\right)$.


## How realize the local optimization?

To make the cost function $F\left(\delta_{t+\tau}\right)$ in a nearest future less then $F\left(\delta_{t}\right)$ in a current time we need to select control $u_{t}$ which guarantees

$$
\partial^{\top} F\left(\delta_{t}\right)\left[f_{N N}\left(\hat{x}_{t}, t\right)-\dot{x}_{t}^{*}+B_{N N}\left(\hat{x}_{t}, t\right) u_{t}\right]<0
$$

This may be done by selection $u_{t}$ satisfying

$$
f_{N N}\left(\hat{x}_{t}, t\right)-\dot{x}_{t}^{*}+B_{N N}\left(\hat{x}_{t}, t\right) u_{t}=-k \partial F\left(\delta_{t}\right), k>0
$$

$$
\text { providing }-k\left\|\partial F\left(\delta_{t}\right)\right\|^{2}<0, \text { or, equivalently, }
$$

$$
B_{N N}\left(\hat{x}_{t}, t\right) u_{t}=-f_{N N}\left(\hat{x}_{t}, t\right)-k \partial F\left(\delta_{t}\right)+\dot{x}_{t}^{*}
$$

- or

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad f_{N N}\left(\hat{x}_{t}, t\right)-\dot{x}_{t}^{*}+B_{N N}\left(\hat{x}_{t}, t\right) u_{t}=-k \operatorname{SIGN}\left(\partial F\left(\delta_{t}\right)\right), k>0 \\
& \text { providing }-k \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\left[\partial F\left(\delta_{t}\right)\right]_{i}\right|<0 \text {, or, equivalently, } \\
& B_{N N}\left(\hat{x}_{t}, t\right) u_{t}=-f_{N N}\left(\hat{x}_{t}, t\right)-k \operatorname{SIGN}\left(\partial F\left(\delta_{t}\right)\right)+\dot{x}_{t}^{*}, \underline{\underline{k}}>0
\end{aligned}
$$

## On SIGN function

## Definition

$$
\operatorname{Sign}\left(s_{t}\right):=\left(\operatorname{sign}\left(s_{1, t}\right), \ldots, \operatorname{sign}\left(s_{n, t}\right)\right)^{\top}
$$

$$
\operatorname{sign}\left(s_{i, t}\right)\left\{\begin{array}{ccc}
=+1 & \text { if } & s_{i, t}>0 \\
=-1 & \text { if } & s_{i, t}<0 \\
\in[-1,+1] & \text { if } & s_{i, t}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

## How to find the control vector?

## Fact

So, if we select $u_{t}$ satifying

$$
B_{N N}\left(\hat{x}_{t}, t\right) u_{t}=-f_{N N}\left(\hat{x}_{t}, t\right)-k \partial F\left(\delta_{t}\right)+\dot{x}_{t}^{*}:=r_{\text {prop }}, k>0,
$$

we guarantee

$$
\frac{d}{d t} F\left(\delta_{t}\right)=-k\left\|\partial F\left(\delta_{t}\right)\right\|^{2}<0
$$

selecting $u_{t}$ satifying

$$
B_{N N}\left(\hat{x}_{t}, t\right) u_{t}=-k \operatorname{SIGN}\left(\partial F\left(\delta_{t}\right)\right)-f_{N N}\left(\hat{x}_{t}, t\right)+\dot{x}_{t}^{*}:=r_{t, s i g n}, \quad k>0,
$$

we guarantee

$$
\frac{d}{d t} F\left(\delta_{t}\right)=-k \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\left[\partial F\left(\delta_{t}\right)\right]_{i}\right|<0
$$

## How to find the control vector?

In any case we need to resolve the linear algebraic equation

$$
B_{N N}\left(\hat{x}_{t}, t\right) u_{t}=r_{t}, r_{t}=\left(r_{t, \text { prop }} \text { or } r_{t, \text { sign }}\right)
$$

or equivalently, in more extended format,

$$
\left\|B_{N N}\left(\hat{x}_{t}, t\right) u_{t}-r\right\|^{2} \rightarrow \min _{u_{t}}
$$

## On Pseudo-inversion

## Theorem

For any real $(n \times m)$-matrix $H$ the limit

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{+}:=\lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0}\left(H^{\top} H+\delta^{2} I_{m \times m}\right)^{-1} H^{\top}=\lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} H^{\top}\left(H H^{\top}+\delta^{2} I_{n \times n}\right)^{-1} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

always exists. Matrix $\mathrm{H}^{+}$is referred to as the pseudo-inverse matrix to the matrix $H$. For any vector $z \in R^{n}$ the vector

$$
\hat{x}=H^{+} z
$$

is the vector of the minimal norm among those which minimize $\|z-H x\|^{2}$, namely,

$$
\hat{x}=H^{+} z=\underset{x}{\arg \min }\|z-H x\|^{2}
$$

and has the minimal norm $\|\hat{x}\|$ among any other possible minimizing points.

## Some properties of Pseudo-inversion operator

## Corollary

For any real $n \times m$ matrix $H$
(1)

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{+}=\left(H^{\top} H\right)^{+} H^{\top} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(H^{\top}\right)^{+}=\left(H^{+}\right)^{\top} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

(3)

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{+}=H^{\top}\left(H H^{\top}\right)^{+} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

(4)

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{+}=H^{-1} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $H$ is square and nonsingular.
In MATLAB $\mathrm{H}^{+}$calculate using the operator

## Some properties of Pseudo-inversion operator

(1)

$$
\left(\mathrm{O}_{m \times n}\right)^{+}=\mathrm{O}_{n \times m}
$$

(2) For any $x \in R^{n}(x \neq 0)$

$$
x^{+}=\frac{x^{\top}}{\|x\|^{2}}
$$

B

$$
\left(H^{+}\right)^{+}=H
$$

(4) In general,

$$
(A B)^{+} \neq B^{+} A^{+}
$$

The identity takes place if

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A^{\top} A=I, \text { or } B B^{\top}=I \text {, or } B=A^{\top}, \text { or } B=A^{+} \text {or } \\
& \text { both } A \text { and } B \text { are of full rank, or } \operatorname{rank} A=\operatorname{rank} B
\end{aligned}
$$

## Analytical representation of locally adaptive control

## Corollary

$$
u_{t}=B_{N N}^{+}\left(\hat{x}_{t}, t\right) r_{t}
$$

where $\delta_{t}=\hat{x}_{t}-x_{t}^{*}, k>0$ and

$$
\begin{gathered}
B_{N N}\left(\hat{x}_{t}, t\right):=B^{*}+W_{1, t} \psi\left(\hat{x}_{t}\right), \\
r_{t}=r_{t, \text { prop }}=-\left[A^{*} \hat{x}_{t}+L^{*}\left[y_{t}-C \hat{x}_{t}\right]+W_{0, t} \varphi\left(\hat{x}_{t}\right)\right]-k \partial F\left(\delta_{t}\right)+\dot{x}_{t}^{*}, \\
\text { or } \\
r_{t}=r_{t, \text { sign }}=-\left[A^{*} \hat{x}_{t}+L^{*}\left[y_{t}-C \hat{x}_{t}\right]+W_{0, t} \varphi\left(\hat{x}_{t}\right)\right]-k \operatorname{SIGN}\left(\partial F\left(\delta_{t}\right)\right)+\dot{x}_{t}^{*} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Weight Matrices $W_{0, t}$ and $W_{1, t}$ move according to Learning Laws (ODE's) containing $W_{0}=W_{0}^{*}, W_{1}=W_{1}^{*}$.

## Control under addional constraints

## Theorem (LS problem with constrants)

Suppose the set

$$
\mathcal{J}=\{x: G x=v\}
$$

is not empty. Then the vector $x_{0}$ minimizes $\|z-H x\|^{2}$ over $\mathcal{J}$ if and only if

$$
\begin{gather*}
x_{0}=G^{+} v+\bar{H}^{+} z+\left(I-G^{+} G\right)\left(I-\bar{H}^{+} \bar{H}\right) w \\
\bar{H}:=H\left(I-G^{+} G\right) \tag{8}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $w \in R^{n}$ is any vector and among all solutions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{x}_{0}=G^{+} v+\bar{H}^{+} z \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

has the minimal Euclidian norm.

## DNN Control under addional constraints

## Corollary (DNN Control under addional constraints)

Under the additional constraints

$$
G u=v
$$

the DNN local adaptive control is

$$
u_{t}=G^{+} v+B_{N N}^{+}\left(\hat{x}_{t}, t\right) r_{t}
$$

## Block scheme of Local Adaptive control



Figure 1: Local Adaptive Control

